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The utility of cardiopulmonary exercise testing in the assessment of suspected microvascular ischemia
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Abstract

Evidence demonstrating the potential value of cardiopulmonary exercise testing (CPET) to accurately detect myocardial ischemia secondary to macro-vascular disease is beginning to emerge. Despite distinct mechanisms mediating ischemia in micro-vascular and macrovascular coronary artery disease (CAD), the net physiologic effect of exercise-induced left ventricular (LV) dysfunction is common to both. The abnormal physiologic response to CPET may, therefore, be similar in patients with macro- and micro-vascular ischemia. The following case report describes the CPET abnormalities in a patient with suspected microvascular CAD and the subsequent improvement in LV function following three weeks of medical therapy with the anti-ischemic drug ranolazine.

© 2009 Elsevier Ireland Ltd. All rights reserved.

1. Introduction

While cardiopulmonary exercise testing (CPET) is an important diagnostic modality in the clinical assessment of patients with heart failure, its potential utility in defining physiologic abnormalities in other patient populations has recently garnered attention. Belardinelli et al. [1] demonstrated, in patients with documented macro-vascular coronary artery disease (CAD) and reversible perfusion defects on myocardial perfusion imaging, assessment of CPET variables increased the sensitivity of the standard stress electrocardiogram (ECG) from 46% to 87% and the specificity from 66% to 74%. The physiologic basis for applying CPET gas exchange measurements in this population is predicated on their ability to accurately identify the onset of ischemia-induced left ventricular (LV) dysfunction during physical exertion and to more precisely quantify the magnitude of physiologic impairment [2].

Micro-vascular ischemia is becoming increasingly recognized as a significant cause of morbidity, especially in women with angiographically normal coronary arteries [3]. Because standard exercise stress testing in women is frequently associated with appreciable rates of false-positive results, it has limited diagnostic accuracy in detecting microvascular ischemia [4]. Despite distinctly different pathophysiologic processes mediating macro- and micro-
vascular ischemia, exercise-induced LV dysfunction may be a feature common to both conditions. CPET may, therefore, prove to be a valuable diagnostic tool in patients with myocardial ischemia of microvascular origin. This case study describes the CPET response of a patient with suspected microvascular ischemia prior to and following an anti-ischemic therapy.

2. Case report

2.1. Baseline assessment

A 59-year-old female with a history of hypertension and rheumatic fever presented with exertional chest discomfort and dyspnea over the preceding several months. She had a 12-pack-year tobacco use history that ended at age 30. Her current medications included atenolol, estrogen and aspirin.

The patient performed exercise on a cycle ergometer, stopping secondary to dyspnea and reaching a peak respiratory exchange ratio and heart rate (HR) of 1.37 and 98% of age-predicted, respectively. She achieved a peak VO$_2$ of 19.2 mlO$_2$ kg$^{-1}$ min$^{-1}$ (94% predicted) and an anaerobic threshold (AT) of 13.9 mlO$_2$ kg$^{-1}$ min$^{-1}$. Her O$_2$ uptake kinetics, assessed by the change in VO$_2$ as a function of increasing work rate ($\Delta$VO$_2$/WR), and O$_2$-pulse (VO$_2$/HR) diverted from their expected normal linear trajectory at a HR of ~90 beats per minute, a change commonly observed with exercise-induced myocardial dysfunction. Fig. 1 illustrates the patients O$_2$-pulse, $\Delta$VO$_2$/WR response and VO$_2$-HR response. The ECG revealed ~1.0 mm horizontal ST-segment depression in the inferior and lateral leads. The CPET and ST-segment abnormalities were noted at ~55 and ~65 watts, respectively.

The patient subsequently underwent 2-dimensional echocardiography, gated SPECT sestamibi myocardial perfusion scintigraphy, and cardiac catheterization. All studies showed no structural heart disease, normal epicardial coronary anatomy and the absence of any significant flow-limiting stenoses. Despite the normal coronary angiogram, the abnormal CPET response, a positive stress ECG and dyspnea at peak exercise effectively reproduced the ischemic cascade and suggested a diagnosis of microvascular ischemia as the etiology of her symptoms.

2.2. Post-pharmacologic intervention

As a result of her abnormal baseline assessment suggestive of myocardial ischemia, the patient was prescribed ranolazine, a novel anti-ischemic agent that blocks late inward sodium current at the onset of cellular ATP depletion, [5] at a dose of 500 mg twice daily for 1 week with up-titration to 1000 mg twice daily thereafter. CPET was repeated after three weeks of therapy. Compared to the baseline study, key CPET findings during follow-up testing revealed that the patient: 1) Terminated exercise due to leg fatigue only, 2) Attained a peak RER of 1.24, 3) Achieved a
peak VO₂ of 23.5 mlO₂ kg⁻¹ min⁻¹ and AT @15.0 mlO₂ kg⁻¹ min⁻¹ (22% and 7.9% improvements compared to baseline, respectively). 4) Did not exhibit flattening of the O₂-pulse until a HR of 100 bpm, 5) Showed a peak O₂-pulse that was 23% higher at follow-up as compared with baseline, 6) Demonstrated a more linear ΔVO₂/ΔWR, 7) Displayed a peak HR in test 2 that was 10 beats lower than in test 1, and 8) Showed a delay in onset of ischemic ECG changes (O₂-pulse trajectory changed at ~65 watts of work followed by ST depression noted at ~90 watts). Fig. 2 illustrates the pre and post treatment O₂-pulse responses. Note that the O₂-pulse at any given workload is higher in test 2 as compared with test 1.

3. Discussion

Previous research in this area has focused on the utility of CPET to detect macro-vascular ischemia due to epicardial CAD [1]. To our knowledge, this is the first documentation of CPET abnormalities, which were improved by a pharmacologic intervention, in a patient with suspected microvascular ischemia and angiographically normal coronary arteries. It is becoming increasingly recognized that microvascular ischemia, particularly in women, is a significant cause of exertional intolerance and angina [3]. To better detect this condition and its functional significance with regard to left ventricular performance, new methods of evaluation must be identified. Peix et al previously demonstrated that postmenopausal women with normal coronary angiograms diagnosed with microvascular ischemia, frequently developed LV dysfunction during exercise testing [6]. Subjects with either macro- or micro-vascular ischemia may, therefore, demonstrate a similar CPET response, although myocardial perfusion imaging and coronary angiographic findings may show the presence or absence of significant epicardial CAD, respectively. Given the potential advantages of CPET to accurately detect and quantify reversible LV dysfunction in a non-invasive manner without radiation, utilization of this assessment technique in the evaluation and management of suspected microvascular ischemia may be clinically important. Incorporation of CPET may likewise be of diagnostic value to reduce the high rate of false positive stress ECG tests observed in women. Moreover, serial assessment with CPET enables a more accurate quantification of improvement in functional capacity (change in peak VO₂) and also enables the physician to discern the mechanism of improvement (improved peak stroke volume in this case). Future investigations should be directed at more thoroughly evaluating the role of CPET in the diagnosis and assessment of treatment efficacy of myocardial ischemia.
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